

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,561	09/30/2003	Axel Spriestersbach	13909-103001 / 2003P00035	6444
32864 7590 05/11/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C. PO BOX 1022			EXAMINER	
			KEEFER, MICHAEL E	
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER
		ı	2109	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/673,561

Examiner

Michael E. Keefer

Applicant(s)

SPRIESTERSBACH ET AL.

Art Unit

2109

	WIICHAEFE. Neerer	2109				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Michael E. Keefer.	(3) <u>Frank A. Italiano Reg. N</u>	<i>lo.</i> 53,056.				
(2) <u>Frantz Jules</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>08 May 2007</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	²)⊠ applicant's representative	<b>e</b> ]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: 4,5 and 12.						
Identification of prior art discussed: Schwerdtfeger et al. (US 6829746), XML Events.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed interpretation of claims 12 and 4-5 and possible claim amendments.</u> <u>Applicant's arguments regarding the failure of Schwerdtferger et a. to meet the limitation of transforming of a generic markup language event to a markup language specific event in claim 12 and a proposed amendment to add language of encoding a generic event into a http request require further consideration</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Sulevisory Patent Exam